Comparison of occupant load in a frontal collision with

different degrees of belt usage

Dipl.-Phys. Annika Kortmann

9th expert seminar - September 03-04, 2020



crashtest-service.com



0:00:00:21

Structure

- "Do the injuries of the occupant in the head-on collision indicate that he was strapped in or not?" Example of a real accident
- Possibilities of motion analysis
- Comparison of occupant loads in a collision with a tree with airbag deployment own tests (not fastened, poorly fastened, well fastened)
- Injury pattern of the occupant
- Summary





.m..m..m..m..m..m..



Occupant load in frontal impact with degree of belt utilization | Dipl.-Phys. Annika Kortmann | 04.09.2020

CTS

crashtest-service.com

crashtest-service

CTS

A case example



• Accident on the country road due to slowly turning vehicle in oncoming traffic



A case example



• Question: Was the occupant in the BMW wearing seat belts? If not, would his injuries have been comparable if the seat belt had been used correctly, taking the airbag into account?



A case example



• Reconstruction of the collision speeds from the damages and the vehicle end positions:



 $V_{BMW} \approx 120 \text{ km/h}, V_{VW} \approx 30 \text{ km/h}$

Occupant stress in the BMW:
Δv ≈ 80 km/h

Observed injuries to the occupant:

- Torso contusion right
- Knee contusion right
- Complex fracture of the foot skeleton of the tarsal bones and the metatarsophalangeal joint

Possibilities of motion analysis



• EuroNCAP - belted driver





Possibilities of motion analysis



• ADAC - unbelted driver







Possibilities of motion analysis



• EuroNCAP – belted driver











Execution of experiments

- 3 crash tests under the same impact configuration/collision speed and vehicle model
- Frontal collision with a tree at 50 km/h
- Airbag deployment during all tests
- Occupant is PRIMUS breakable with triaxial accelerometers in Head/chest/hip
- Degree of belt usage:

belted, poorly belted belt (lap belt only), not strapped

 Autopsy of the dummy after each collision







Non-belted occupant



• Motion sequence of the occupant in single images



Non-belted occupant



• Resulting accelerations (CFC60 filtering)



Non-belted occupant

80

Beschleunigung [g]

-20

-40

-60

-80

-100

-120

-140

• Accelerations of the head (x,y,z)



200 Hz

Head Impact Face

200 Hz Contractions of the state of the sta



Non-belted occupant

SB

• Accelerations of the head (x,y,z)



Non-belted occupant



• Accelerations of the chest (x,y,z)



Non-belted occupant

ullet





Non-belted occupant



• Accelerations of the chest (x,y,z)



Non-belted occupant



• Accelerations of the hip (x,y,z)



Badly belted occupant (lap belt only)



• Examples of incorrect use of the 3-point safety belt



Badly belted occupant (lap belt only)





Badly belted occupant



• Motion sequence of the occupant in single images



Badly belted occupant (lap belt only)

• Resulting accelerations





Badly belted occupant (lap belt only)





Badly belted occupant (lap belt only)











Comparison of unbelted / badly belted occupant

• Direct comparability given, due to almost identical passenger cell acceleration





Comparison of unbelted / badly belted occupant



• Accelerations of the head (resulting)



Comparison of non- / badly belted occupant



• Accelerations of the head (resulting)



Comparison of unbelted / badly belted occupant

• Accelerations of the head (resulting)

Comparison of non- / badly belted occupant

• Accelerations of the hip (resulting)

Properly fastened seat belt

• Motion sequence of the occupant in single images

Comparison of the motion sequence

SB

• Motion sequence of the occupant in single images (temporal resolution)

Comparison of occupant stress in all tests

• Accelerations of the head (resulting)

Comparison of occupant stress in all tests

Comparison of occupant stress in all tests

• Accelerations of the head (x,y,z) - correctly strapped

Comparison of occupant stress in all tests

Motion sequence - correctly strapped dummy

Comparison of occupant stress in all tests

• Motion sequence - correctly strapped dummy

Considerable head twisting when diving into the airbag - more realistic behavior than the otherwise known tests?

Comparison of occupant stress in all tests

• Accelerations of the breast (resulting)

Comparison of occupant stress in all tests

• Accelerations of the hip (resulting)

Comparison of the injury pattern

• Not strapped

Massive overstretching of the cervical spine

Opening vertebrae of the thoracic spine

• Badly belted

Two broken ribs left

Tape elongation

Elbow joint right

Ligament extension knee joint right

Ligament extension knee joint left

• Well belted

Two broken ribs left

Sternal Fracture

Summary

• Essential differences in the motion sequence in a frontal impact 50 km/h against a tree

 \rightarrow not strapped in: double head impact, compression of the neck vertebrae, chest impact on the steering wheel

- \rightarrow Poorly fastened seat belts: head impact on the steering wheel despite airbag, significant steering wheel deformation
- \rightarrow well fastened seat belts: no steering wheel impact, longer dwell time in the airbag
- Similarities in the acceleration process
 - \rightarrow Hip acceleration comparable in intensity and course in all experiments
 - \rightarrow Chest acceleration with bad/good belting comparable, since no impact
 - \rightarrow Head impact when not/badly strapped in comparable intensity
- Significantly lower head load due to airbag and correct use of seat belt, as less a over twice the time Primus dummy shows considerable rotation of the head when immersing into the airbag

Protection Airbag only in combination with 3-point safety belt!

Comparison of occupant load in a frontal collision with

different degrees of belt usage

Dipl.-Phys. Annika Kortmann

9th expert seminar - September 03-04, 2020

Many thanks for your attention!

0:00:00:21

milleringen milleringen milleringen.

